(193) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 477

DATED 1937

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rao Sahib V. Dharmalingam Pil

THE P.W.DEPARTMENT

677. Will the Hon the Minister for Public Works be pleased to call for the information required in items

Telegram.

From

The Secretary to Govt. Rev(I)

To

The CE for Electricity

Electrification of the Rev and Judl. depts bldgs at Mangalore
Refce. G.O No. 2537-W dt 25.11.35

Please report very urg
to answer a Legislative Council quest
(1) whether tenders were called
inviting for electric lights &
fans in the Collr's Office, the D
Court, the Subcourt & D.M. Court
Mangalore. South Kanara

(2) whether the lowest tender is
accepted, and if not, why
it was not accepted

(3) whether the accepted tend
is an electrician; and if
whether he has employed a
qualified electrician

(4) what is the professional
of the tenderer.

(5) when the work was taken up

(6) whether the works have
done satisfactorily, and if
and completed
not in what stage were the
were

~~required in connection with a~~

~~L.C. Examination early~~

~~is required~~

26.11.86

26-11-86

26/11

Copy by post.

9

Telephonic message.

From the C.E.(Electricity)

To the Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

Dated 1-12-36.

Electrification of the Revenue and Judicial Departments Buildings at Mangalore Ref: G.O.No 2537 W dated 25-11-35 and your T.M. dated 26-11-36.

The questions raised in your T.M. are answered below seriatim.

1. Yes. Tenders were called for.

2. No. As the lowest tenderer was not in a position to commence the work immediately the works were entrusted to the next lowest tenderer.

3. The accepted tenderers have already carried out electrical works at Mangalore to the entire satisfaction of the local authorities there and according to Govt. specifications. They have employed trained workmen.

4xxThexworksxwerextakenxupxonx12x5x36xandxxxxx

4. They are electrical dealers and contractors also they are well to do merchants.

5. The works were taken up on 12-5-36 and

6. They have been completed satisfactorily.

L C Q No 677 Starred

Rao Sahib V. Dharmalingam Pillai

Q.

Will the Honble the Minister for P.W. be pleased to call for the information required in items (a) to (d) and (f) to (h) of question no 211 answered on 31-8-36, and place it on the table of this House.

The following information is furnished

(a) Whether tenders were called for for wiring for electric lights and fans in the Collector's office, the Dr Court, the Sub Court and the Munsiff's Court at Mangalore, South Kanara Dr

(b) If so, whether the lowest tender was accepted: if not, why not

(b) No As the lowest tenderer was not in a position to commence work immediately the works were entrusted to the next lowest tenderer.

(c) Whether the accepted tenderer is an electrician if not whether he has employed any qualified electrician.

(c) The accepted tenderers have already carried out electrical works at Mangalore to the entire satisfaction of the local authorities

The Govt have no ~~[illegible]~~ information but it is understood the ~~specifications~~ employed by the tenderers are trained workmen.

(d) what is the professional status of the tenderer

(d) The tenderers are electrical dealers and contractors and are well to do merchants.

(f) whether the works have been satisfactorily done

(g) whether the works have been completed; if not, at what stage the works are now, and

(f)+(g) the works have been completed satisfactorily.

(h) when the work was taken up.

(h) on 12.5.36

2.12.36.
1.12.36

1/12

1/12

PW no 4220 CB61
2.12.36.

Dy
2/12/36.

5-92

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL QUESTION No.677*(Starred).

Rao Sahib V. Dharmalingam Pillai.

677* Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Public Works be pleased to call for the information required in items (a) to (d) and (f) to (h) of question No.211 answered on 31st August 1936, and place it on the table of this House.

(a) whether tenders were called for for wiring for electric lights and fans in the Collector's office, the District Court, the Sub Court and the Munsiff's court at Mangalore, South Kanara district.

(b) if so, whether the lowest tender was accepted; if not, why not.

(c) whether the accepted tenderer is an electrician; if not, whether he has employed any qualified electrician.

(d) what is the professional status of the tenderer.

(f) whether the works have been satisfactorily done.

(g) whether the works have been completed, if not, at what stage the works are now, and

(h) when the work was taken up.

Public Works Department.

Answer.

The following information is furnished.

(a) Yes.

(b) No. As the lowest tenderer was not in a position to commence work immediately the works were entrusted to the next lowest tenderer.

(c) The Government have no information but it is understood that the tenderers employed trained workmen.

(d) The tenderers are electrical dealers and contractors and are well-to-do merchants.

(f) and (g) The works have been completed satisfactorily.

(h) On 12th May 1936.

Fort St. George,
2nd December 1936.

K. Gopala Rao
For Secretary to Govt.

(The above answer has been approved by the Hon'ble Mr. P.T.Rajan.)

To the Legislative Council Office.

1220C/36-1.dated 2nd December 1936



Office of the Chief Engineer
for Electricity,
Chepauk, M a d r a s.

POST COPY OF TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From the Chief Engineer for Electricity,

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

No.13940/36-A-3 dated 1st December 1936.

Sub:-Electrification of the Revenue and Judicial Department buildings at Mangalore

Ref:-G.O.No. 2537-W. dated 25-11-1935. & your T.M. dated 26-11-36.

The questions raised in your Telephonic Message are answered seriatim.-

1. Yes, tenders were called for

2. No. As the lowest tenderer was not in a position to commence the work immediately, the works were entrusted to the next lowest tenderer.

3. The accepted tenderers have already carried out electrical works at Mangalore to the entire satisfaction of the local authorities there and according to Government specifications. They have employed trained workmen.

4. They are electrical dealers and contractors; (also they are well-to-do merchants).

5. The works were taken up on 12-5-36.

6. They have been completed satisfactorily.

for Chief Engineer (Electricity)

Law Dept. III-8—700—23-12-35.

QUESTION.—No. 677 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 30th November 1936.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Public Works Department.

The Question has been admitted.

JMR 24-11-36

24/11/36

U.O. No. , *dated*

To

The P. W. Department.